

5.11.20 - Dirt Analogy

We are going to do an analogy of how world view changes what we see when viewing dirt and nudity. We will start with dirt because it is easier to understand how the person's outlook changes what they see. We are going to think of dirt as ground with no vegetation growing on it and nudity as an unclothed female body. It seems to be the female body that is associated with "sins of nudity". I suppose a naked male body could be a problem for the gals, but I have read very little of that happening. Potiphar's wife and Joseph come to mind, but she may have wanted to control Joseph more than lusting for his body.

A mother is going to see soil without vegetation as a source of dirt in her house transported by anyone who walks or plays in the dirt. She will also see it as a source of germs for her children. It could also be viewed as an eye sore in her yard or as a source of marks on clothing requiring more effort on her part to get the clothing clean.

A child could see the dirt as material for mud pies. It might be a place to play unhampered by grass. He could not be blamed for killing the grass if it has no grass to start with. If it fills with water when it rains, he could use it as a wading pool or float his toy boats in it or ...

A landscaper may look at the bare dirt and remind himself to check for small stones that the mower could pick up and damage nearby objects like cars or house siding. He may see it as a way to upsell the customer on seeding or sodding the bare spot or maybe even putting flowers and mulch in the area to cover it up.

A gardener may kill the grass so it does not compete with his crops. He sees the dirt as an environment for fish worms, microbes, roots and bugs. He is concerned if the dirt has the right nutrients for the plants he wants to grow. Is it warm enough in the spring to put his crops in the ground? Are they getting enough moisture for optimum growth? Does the location get the right amount of sunlight for the crops he wants to grow?

As with viewing the dirt depends on who is viewing it, so the naked female body looks different depending on who is viewing the body. It is not as easy to see why someone would not see it like you do when it comes to nudity. I am normal and they look normal, therefore they should see it like I do. Aren't all men the same? Yes and no, they all have basically the same hardware, but the software can be very different.

Young children, around ten or less, have very little trouble playing together naked with the genders mixed. The boys have no sexual agenda since they are not developed enough to care. They view girls as similar, but with longer hair on their head and no penis between their legs and strange likes for play activities.

A young man with only Hollywood training on sexuality would see a naked female body as an object to do a test run of his skill in the sex arena and to find out if it is such a big thrill as the actors try to make it seem. He could also rate the body as it compared to the actress that he considered most desirable for a sexual partner.

The husband who married a body instead of a person will compare the naked female body with his wife's body. If his wife rates lower, then he could look for ways to make a trade. This view of only appearance matters, puts a lot of stress on his wife and causes her to see every woman as a competitor. I would think she can see the day coming when she will be too old to out rate the younger models.

The husband who is having trouble getting his wife to do what he expects which could be impossible to do, will see the naked body as a solution to getting what he thinks he deserves. This line of reasoning would allow him to resist changing his behavior or expectations, because he thinks he found an easier way to satisfy his lusts.

A man with a lot of porn input will see a naked female body as a big request for a sex partner. He believes that all women are looking for a

place and a partner for sex. The more skin they have uncovered the more interested they are in finding a partner and engaging in sexual activities.

You are right, the gal does not need to be naked for most of the thoughts above to happen. It just seems that nakedness puts flashing lights and enticing music to the ideas

The man who has separated sex and nudity by seeing a lot on nudity without sexual activities will see the naked female as an example of God's expression of some aspect of Himself. This person can enjoy the beauty of her body without plotting a way to engage in sexual activities with her. He sees sex as something that grows out of a spiritual relation with a woman after committing himself to a life long relation. He may give sexual activities a passing though as a nice house causes one to think of living there or a nice car would cause one to imagine driving it, but he does not consider breaking any of God's laws to turn his passing thought into reality. This man's wife knows that it is their relationship that he treasures more than her physical appearance.

A quote comes to mind from one of Jim Cunningham's books (my apologies if this is not exact):

If "your" attitude is that sexual parts are no more arousing than a nose or an elbow, then pornography cannot find a place "in you".
If "your" attitude is that the body is holy and good, then puritanical attitudes can't exist "in you".

There are many factors that help form the view of dirt or the naked body. Experience has to be a part of the view. If you only see nudity associated with sex in movies, in porn videos, in ads, in TV shows; you will automatically connect the two together in your view of the naked female body. If you grew up with a lot of non-sexual nudity, a naked body will be a non event. If you are self centered you will view nudity as an ad for one of life's biggest pleasures. What you read will change how you view nudity. If what you read presents women as pleasure

machines, you will see nudity as an invitation to enjoy the machine. If what you read presents sex as a great danger like the front lines in war, then you may be frightened by it. If your friends see women as toys, then you are very likely to do the same.

The bottom line is this...First...a biblical view is always the proper view. God saw the naked bodies of Adam and Eve as honoring himself, as His most prized creation, as a shining illustration of His own image, as non-sexual and as GOOD. If your view of the naked body is different from this in any way, then your view is WRONG! And second...that viewing the naked body in a non-sexual family friendly setting such as at home and at a nudist resort often will change how you or anyone views a naked body. It will make the view of the naked body to something wholesome, ordinary and commonplace. We cannot recommend enough that you see things as God does and that you try family friendly non-sexual nakedness soon and often.

A Nudist Parable

Two men were walking home from work one day, one nudist, the other not. Through an open window they saw a woman taking a shower. The non-nudist thought about the incident for weeks, fantasizing how he might persuade her to step out of the shower into his arms. But the nudist only smiled and walked on home.

Which one of the two men has committed adultery in his heart?